

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
Wildfire Mitigations Plans Discovery 2026-2028
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	OEIS_001-Q020
PG&E File Name:	WMP-Discovery2026-2028_DR_OEIS_001-Q020
Request Date:	April 8, 2025
Requester DR No.:	OEIS-P-WMP_2025-PGE-001
Requesting Party:	Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety
Requester:	Nathan Poon
Date Sent:	April 11, 2025

**SUBJECT: REGARDING THE CBR CALCULATION IN AREA FOR CONTINUED IMPROVEMENT
PG&E-25U-04**

QUESTION 020

In response to Area for Continued Improvement PG&E-25U-04, PG&E discusses the methodology used for its CBR calculation on page 572. The discussion does not include how PG&E calculated the “eyes-on-risk” achieved by a detailed aerial inspection vs. and aerial scan inspection.

- a. Does PG&E assume that an aerial scan achieves the same eyes-on-risk as a detailed aerial inspection?
 - i. If yes, discuss how PG&E determined an aerial scan achieves the same eyes-on-risk as a detailed aerial inspection. This discussion must include a description of differences in the process and execution of aerial scans vs. aerial detailed inspections (i.e. photograph locations, equipment required to be photographed, photograph quantity per inspection, photograph clarity requirements, reviewer inspection checklists, etc.)
 - ii. If no, provide the following calculations:
 - A. The eyes-on-risk of a detailed aerial inspection on an asset in an area of extreme consequence and extreme wildfire risk.
 1. The eyes on risk of an aerial scan inspection on the same asset.
 - B. The eyes-on-risk of a detailed aerial inspection on an asset in an area of severe consequence and severe wildfire risk.
 1. The eyes on risk of an aerial scan inspection on the same asset.
 - C. The eyes-on-risk of a detailed aerial inspection on an asset in an area of high consequence and high wildfire risk.
 1. The eyes on risk of an aerial scan inspection on the same asset.

Answer 020

a. Yes, PG&E assumes that an aerial scan achieves the same eyes-on-risk as a detailed aerial inspection.

- i. The primary objective of inspections is to identify various conditions requiring corrective actions. Some of the corrective actions must be addressed in the short term while others have a longer time duration depending on the observed condition and location in the HFTD, in accordance to GO 95, Rule 18.

PG&E's eyes-on-risk metric associated with inspections is meant to capture the ability of an activity to detect conditions that could fail in the short-term. These are conditions that are associated with Level 1 findings and urgent Level 2 findings, which correspond to PG&E's A, B, and X tags. These are the tag conditions that are addressed expeditiously: immediately for an A tag, within seven days for an X tag, and within a six-month time frame for a B tag. Since PG&E's aerial scans will identify A, B, and X conditions, it achieves the same eyes-on-risk as a detailed ground or aerial inspection.

b. Not applicable, please see the response to subpart (a) above.